MINUTES
IUPSYS 2000 OUTGOING EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
Stockholm, Sweden
July 21 (15:00-18:00), July 22 (9:00-18:00), July 23(9:00-13:00), 2000

Present:
President Géry d’Ydewalle; Past President Kurt Pawlik; Vice-Presidents Cigdem Kagitçibasi, and Jan Strelau; Secretary-General Pierre Ritchie; Deputy Secretary-General Merry Bullock; Treasurer Michel Sabourin; Members John Adair, Ruben Ardila, Michel Denis, Hiroshi Imada, Lars-Göran Nilsson, Bruce Overmier, Ype Poortinga, Juan Jose Sanchez-Sosa, and Houcan Zhang; honorary Executive Committee member Mark Rosenzweig; ex officio member Francois Doré.

Guests: Michael Foster (Psychology Press), Orjan Salling, Britta Hansson (Swedish Organizing Committee, XXVII Congress); Zhang Kan (Secretary General, XXVIII Congress).

0. Opening
President d’Ydewalle opened the meeting and extended a warm welcome to all present. He congratulated EC members for honors received during the preceding year: Pawlik for his election to the position of President of the Joachim Jungius Society of Sciences; Adair for the Canadian Psychological Association Gold Medal award; Ritchie for an American Psychological Association Presidential Citation for contributions to the development of psychology and for a Canadian Psychological Association 2000 Award for Excellence in Clinical Training; Sabourin for the Canadian Psychological Association Award for distinguished contributions to the International Advancement of Psychology; and to Sanchez-Sosa for being elected President of the International Society of Clinical Psychology and receiving the Iztacala Professorship at the University of Mexico.

The EC extended congratulations

d’Ydewalle regretted the deaths of Tadeusz Tomaszewski who was a member of the EC from 1963 to 1984, and vice-president from 1980-1984 and of Abou-Hatab who was a member of the EC from 1992-1996 and was nominated for the 2000 EC.

The EC stood for a minute of silence.
The President expressed appreciation for support for travel expenses to: the Japanese Psychological Association for Imada, the University of Tilburg for Poortinga, the University of Ottawa for Ritchie, the American Psychological Association for Bullock, and the CNRS for Denis.

He then turned the floor to the Secretary-General and Treasurer who provided information about logistics and materials for the upcoming meetings.

1. Adoption of the Agenda
Item 16.1.2 (European Association of Work and Organizational Psychology) was added to the agenda.

2. Minutes

2.1 1999 EC

The 1999 EC Minutes were approved by email.

The EC voted to recommend to the Incoming EC that the procedure of receiving and approving minutes by email be continued.

There was discussion of including extended notes from prior years in the archives, and it
was agreed to attempt to recover these notes when possible.

3. Report of the President

The President focused on summarizing issues that the incoming EC and new President would need to address. These included
1) International leadership of IUPsyS;
2) International Congresses;
3) Expanding the publication programme;
4) Redefining the scope of the research programme;
5) Improving associations with external bodies such as ICSU;
6) expanding IUPsyS activities

Discussion expanded on some of the points raised in the report and on strategies for showcasing research in IUPsyS activities; finding mechanisms to attend to rapidly to ICSU and ISSC program priorities; and strategies for attracting more scientists to international meetings.

The EC accepted the Presidents report.

4. Report of the Secretary-General

The Secretary-General referred to his written report and supplementary documents for a general overview. He urged EC and Assembly members to work to avoid delays in responding to mail ballots.

The Secretary-General announced that the Assembly voted by mail ballot to admit Lithuania to IUPsyS and to approve a change in Spain’s representation.

Overmier raised a concern that IUPsyS does not pay sufficiently strong attention to the role of science in applicants’ by-laws. Ritchie responded by inviting the EC to review the rules for admission. He noted there was a need to strike an appropriate balance between a current mix of science and practice and potential for development.

The EC accepted the Secretary-General’s report and thanked him for his readiness to continue as Secretary-General.

5. Report of the Treasurer

5.1 1999 Financial Statements

The Treasurer read through financial statement documents.

The Secretary-General noted that reporting requirements have increased in past years to demand more detail so that financial reporting for each project needs to be more extensive than in the past.

5.2 2000 Budget

The treasurer presented the 2000 budget and statement of operations, and dues information for national members.

5.3 2001-2 Budget planning

5.3.1 2001 Preliminary Budget

The EC received the Treasurer’s report including the financial statements and 2000 budget.

The EC approved the appointment of Mizgala and Cie as auditors for the 2000 fiscal year.

The EC approved recommending the 2001 budget to the incoming EC.

6. Membership Issues
Lithuania and the new adhering member for Spain (Spanish Network of Psychology) have been approved by the Assembly.

6.1 Current applications  
6.1.1 Yemen (A4.1)  
Materials were circulated to the EC for consideration and were discussed.  
The EC unanimously approved recommending to the Assembly the admission of Yemen to IUPsyS.

6.1.2 European Association of Work and Organizational Psychology (AS4.3)  
The EC unanimously approved recommending the admission of the EAWOP as an affiliate to IUPsyS to the Assembly.

6.2 Report from Category 0 ad hoc committee  
There was discussion of the appropriateness of Category 0 countries.  
The EC recommended that the Incoming EC address the issue of Category 0 countries.

6.3 Report on Revision of Dues Category  
The Treasurer reported responses to requests to consider dues level upgrading: Italy has changed from category D to F, and Austria is pending.  
The EC recommended to the incoming EC that the new President send a letter to selected countries requesting a dues category upgrade.

6.4 Recruitment  
There is active discussion with Azerbaijan, and discussions with additional countries are anticipated during the course of the Congress. Ritchie asked the EC to affirm the Union’s active role in recruiting new members (vs. passively waiting for applications). The proper contact in Brazil was reported to be the Collegio.  
There was discussion of recruitment strategy. Rosenzweig suggested using the human development (HD) index as a source of information, and targeting geographically underrepresented areas. Pawlik suggested that Thailand and former Yugoslavia were important countries to approach. d’Ydewalle noted that the officers had prepared a list of countries not yet members of the Union and planned to approach them.  
The EC approved the officers’ pursuit of recruitment of those countries already identified, including especially Jordan, Syria, Lebanon.

7. ISSC Activities (A17 – pp. 181-214)  
7.1 ISSC Grants Programme  
7.1.1 1999 Grant Reports (EC)  
Ritchie noted that all 1999 reports have been submitted and accepted.  
7.1.2 2000-2001 Grants (EC)  
Ritchie described the grant situation at ISSC and ICSU as one with changing and sometimes unpredictable priorities. He noted that IUPsyS submissions and reports are well respected, and thanked present and potential project leaders who provide information for grant applications. He referred the EC to the agenda book, which lists the present projects waiting for approval by UNESCO.

Pawlik noted that UNESCO and the entire UN system are undergoing changes that may present an opportunity for ISSC and IUPsyS to participate in the reorganization and to ground projects in national needs, as well as develop new programs that are appropriate to the changes. Poortinga suggested that the Union could serve as a mediator between local needs and global organizations. For
example, the International Association of Cross Cultural Psychology congress is likely to be in Jakarta in Indonesia in 2002, and the Union could play a role in organizing information about important needs and priorities in the area.

The President noted that although funding for some grants approved for 2000 is late in arriving, those funds when received will nonetheless need to be spent in 2000, with a report submitted in January 2001.

There was discussion that generating and maintaining a set of possible projects was essential to be in a position to submit projects that match changing priorities. It is important to work on mechanisms for involving National Members in this process (this was attempted with disappointing results with the letter from Strelau in the last year) and to increase the mediating role of the Union. There was extensive discussion of the kinds of projects that might be supported—research projects, capacity building projects, etc. The President closed the discussion and asked the EC to remember the ideas for the incoming EC activities.

7.2 Meetings with the ISSC Secretary-General
Ritchie noted that relations with the Secretary-General are very cordial and facilitate interaction

8. ICSU Activities
8.1 1999 Cairo General Assembly (A1)
The President reported that he and Ritchie attended for the Union and Pawlik attended for ISSC and referred to the agenda materials for background materials. There was anticipation that someone from IUPsyS would be elected to the Executive Committee of the ICSU. Although d’Ydewalle had good support from other scientific unions, he was not elected and there was the impression that it was difficult to get votes from national member delegates for social and behavioral science candidates. Ritchie also noted that the current treasurer in ICSU is from Belgium and this may have been perceived as overlap. Ritchie reported that the new ICSU Executive Director, Larry Kohler, has a more social sciences background and has made a good impression for future directions. The President noted that the lack of representation in ICSU governance must be tempered by the large ICSU grant.

8.2 ICSU Grants Programme
8.2.1 1999 Grant Reports (EC)
The reports are in the agenda materials.

8.2.2 2000 Grants Progress Report
Reports are in progress. Denis reported that the ARTS seminar in Lund (organized with the ICSU grant) had 21 participants, all fully supported by the grant, that included young researchers and clinical researchers from countries without access to such facilities. He suggested that the Union give strong thanks to Risberg for organizing this ARTS. He urged the Union to make a strong effort to obtain such funding again, with an emphasis on interdisciplinary science.

d’Ydewalle noted that these positive results will be important when Denis is
asked to take the floor at the next ICSU assembly to describe the project.

**8.2.3 2001 Grant submissions (EC)**

Ritchie reported an early expectation that ICSU priorities will be science policy, visibility and interdisciplinarity. However, unofficial information suggested that funding will be poor for 2001, and proposals that we submitted may not be funded. Ritchie urged the Union to continue pursuing activities with ICSU as an important venue for IUPsyS activities and presence.

**8.3 Meeting with Executive Director**

Ritchie reported on a meeting between the Officers and the new Executive Director of ICSU, Dr. Larry Kohler in which Kohler indicated his goals of improving communications, transparency and participation. He also made several practical suggestions for the 2001 grant cycle. The Secretary-General recommended continued attention to increasing the direct contact with ICSU officials.

**8.4 CODATA**

Sabourin referred the EC to the Agenda book and to plans for a task force on data archiving. This is a new activity for CODATA. There will be a round table at the present conference and a symposium at the upcoming CODATA meeting in October.


Pawlik referred to his written report.

**9.1 International Journal of Psychology**

**9.1.1 Editor’s Report – (EC)**

Doré reported on journal activities. Of the 120 manuscripts processed during the last year 16.7% were rejected without being sent out for review; 15.8% were under review; 4.2% required minor revision; 9.2% required major revision; 7.6% were reviewed and rejected and 46.5% were accepted or in press. 55 articles were published during the year, including the special issues on working memory and on diplomacy. The Journal had an impact factor of .725, which has increased over the last three years.

Discussion included plans to announce the IJP language change and new editor in the first issue for 2001. Additional discussion was whether it was worthwhile to publish Congress abstracts, especially if there are other venues for such publication (e.g., electronically). Several EC members noted reasons to continue the practice: abstract publication is the norm in other disciplines; publication adds to circulation and visibility; abstract volumes serve as a hard record of IUPsyS congresses. Adair recounted his experience that trying to stop publication of abstracts in Canadian journals elicited strong response from the scientific community, which wanted abstracts to continue to be published. Rosenzweig reminded the EC that the statutes say that abstracts need to be published in a uniform manner, which means they will need to be published in another format, if not in the journal. Ritchie noted that the journal serves parts of the world that do not have high technology and for the middle term is the most secure manner of ensuring archiving properties. Discussion ended by noting that a decision will need to be made only before the 2004 Congress.
The EC agreed to consider the publication of abstracts in the International Journal of Psychology in the EC meeting in 2002 to make a certain decision.

9.1.2 Editor Search –
The publications subcommittee presented a short list culled from applications/nominations, which was ranked by the EC to determine who would be interviewed.

9.2 Resource File
The CD-ROM is published, and Overmier will begin the update process now. Discussion covered deferring decisions about whether the resource file should be migrated to a web format until the CD ROM has been tested, and solicitation of ideas for additions to the resource file tool. The CD-ROM was sent only to members of the EC. Overmier promised to provide information about the procedures for checking and updating the resource files.

9.3 International Handbook of Psychology
The Handbook will be launched at a reception. Future plans for the handbook include encouraging foreign language translations and encouraging its use as a textbook. The book is about 600-700 pages and about 3-4000 copies have been produced.

The EC congratulated the editors for the book

9.4 History of the IUPsyS
The History book is now in circulation. Copies have been purchased for distribution. Editor Rosenzweig noted the importance of the Union archives in such a project and stressed to present committees and groups to be aware of historical needs in archiving information, and requested that any errors noted in the volume be called to the Secretary-General's attention so that the archives can be updated. Pawlik asked to consider asking EC members to send notes and documents to be archived in the Union archives for later historical inquiry.

9.5 WWW Homepage
The EC was requested to respond to requests for information more promptly for web updates.

The EC thanked Bullock for work on the web page and agreed to respond promptly.

9.6 History of Psychology
Pawlik introduced ideas to produce a conceptual history of ideas in psychology. Denis suggested involving individuals in the history of science and suggested contacting the other Union on the history of science.

Other issues:
The Union will be involved in ongoing discussions about data archiving. These include a Roundtable during the Congress and possible publications listing issues and problems.

The EC received the report.
The President extended strong appreciation and thanks to Pawlik as chair of the publications committee.

The EC congratulated Pawlik
Meeting with Michael Foster, Psychology Press
Foster handed out a report outlining current publishing issues within Psychology Press, noting that it is at the technological forefront in publishing. He noted that IJP subscriptions are stable, its impact is increasing, and the CD-ROM is regarded as a prototype. He discussed electronic publishing issues. Foster expressed support for
developing a history of psychology textbook. He also addressed the transition to a monolingual journal, noting that the cover can be altered to clearly indicate that the journal is monolingual with extended abstracts in French and Spanish.

The EC expressed thanks to Psychology Press for the generous provision of the first 1000 copies of the abstracts to Congress organizers.

Foster raised concerns about the abstract publication, which contained errors arising from the mode of production (electronic submission to Congress organizers that was delivered in a print-ready file). The EC noted that it will be necessary to work with software that will be passed to the Chinese to improve how information is coded.

The Communication & Publication Chair expressed thanks for the publication of the history book of the Union and discussed discount schemes, and how sales will be because it is a unique book. He discussed adding the history book to the CD-ROM and indicated that he might be hesitant to add the history book to the CD-ROM because it could devalue the book itself. Alternatively, from a publishing point of view most sales are in the first two years, so adding it to the CD-ROM after that point would be added value/interest. Most purchasers of volume will be libraries, and putting it on the CD-ROM would allow updates.

There was a suggestion to have the book reviewed in Contemporary Psychology as well as other venues. Kagitçibasi will write to Bob Sternberg, and Bullock agreed to take a copy to Contemporary Psych offices.

Pawlik thanked Overmier and others for work on the CD-ROM and asked Foster to summarize changes. Foster indicated that the 2001 issue will include updates and Congress abstracts (new). The 2002 edition will include the history book.

Overmier noted suggestions for further additions:
- A journals data base (this would need funding)
- Analysis of ethics codes
- Historical site produced in Brazil; other updates

He added that new, larger projects would need resources; the core directory is continually being updated.

Foster noted that the Proceedings of the XXVII Congress will be published in only two volumes -- it was decided it would not be cost-effective to print more.

The Conceptual history of psychology was discussed. Foster indicated he saw it as a book that could be used in courses; this will be discussed with the Psychology Person who runs Psychology Press USA.

Pawlik asked whether there was a wish list for future projects. Foster indicated that there was no list, and that they were receptive to projects.

Suggestions included a new text or handbook series on the teaching of psychology worldwide that would include both techniques and the content of what is to be taught.
The EC recommended that these ideas be forwarded to the new EC for consideration.

The EC expressed strong thanks to Psychology Press for good collaboration and offered congratulations.


10.1 Framework report (distributed by email)

The President and EC extended thanks to Kagitçibasi for initiating the paper and writing the current draft. Kagitçibasi introduced the paper and some changes. She indicated that the standing committee would continue changes to the draft paper.

Questions concerned the perceived use for the paper: working document, publication in IJP, etc. which will determine its format and how extensive it needs to be,

Kagitçibasi outlined the questions motivating the paper:

1. where does psychology stand (state of the art) -- to answer this, questionnaires from IUPsyS and from IAAP-EFFPA were used. Answers were framed in a:
   - Professional perspective (practice orientation; professional vs. university control)
   - training perspective (students perspective)
   - needs perspective
   - public perspective (public image of psychology)

2. what are the central issues facing psychology
   - classification of the field;
   - identity issues;
   - centrifugal issues defining the scope of the field

3. what needs to be done - suggestions for the field and the future

The President thanked Kagitçibasi for the paper, noting that comments had been submitted. He raised the question of the next steps. From Durban the idea was to collect ideas and then publicize it is widely as possible in the platform section and to national members. Kagitçibasi asked for a general discussion in the EC.

Poortinga: first issue is what we want from this exercise. There are many ideas but no explicit set of goals. The current draft provides an overview; things ‘we’ should do something about but question is whom; what is target audience, what are goals; style is low-keyed, perhaps need concrete recommendations or need descriptions?

Pawlik congratulated Kagitçibasi on her leadership and continued with the question – another issue is not the degree to which psychology is accepted by other natural sciences but the lack or low degree to which it is accepted by the social sciences. There is an image of psychology as psychotherapy, as industrial-organizational, a little as educational, but virtually none of psychology as social science – viewed too much as problem solving profession rather than prevention profession. There is a discrepancy between the quality of methods, data resources, theory development and recognition among the social sciences. He urged approaching this issue by expanding the paper.
Sanchez-Sosa: one result of the paper may be to discuss how psychologists get trained around the world and what different orientations lead to. One role for IUPsyS might be to determine minimum levels of attention to science to assure that psychology training is more than training as artisans.

Adair: good framework document, but believes that a subcommittee needs to take the document and structure what are the goals, what are frameworks, and begin to frame questions about how psychologists perceive themselves, training, and to identify questions that could be critiques of where we stand and that could identify projects for the union.

Rosenzweig: p. 2 training – material too restricted to European model and thus too optimistic. There is much less training in some developing countries where training time is much shorter.

Overmier: agrees with circulating the paper broadly. Believes the platform section is not an appropriate venue for soliciting comments because once in platform is no longer perceived as a working document.

Strelau: not easy to find common denominators in standards psychologists should fulfill because training and research both depend on social demands and context in countries. Developing big picture.

Zhang: does find interest in standard training program for both professional and scientific directions, and this may apply to developing countries.

Poortinga: setting aspirational standards can serve a useful function for fostering development in the field. Recognizing it is opinion, it can serve an important function to try to outline training.

Pawlik: Germany is changing to introduce a bachelor's degree and direct entry into specialization. He sees this as dangerous trend that would be dangerous to the field. What is unique about psychology – at least among other social sciences -- is that we are the science of the individual of the person. It is worth recognizing this in the paper – outlining the differences between psychology and natural sciences, psychology and social sciences.

Ritchie spoke to the definitional statements – everything that is said is true – natural, social, health, individual, and will remain true. Depends on the particular locale. There is core legitimacy to psychology’s characterization in each domain. How does this translate to the Union? Two things are important – one is that the Union has to function as unifying force (not unitary) – how to characterize strengths as stemming from diversity; and how develop a framework that is unifying and that draws on complementarity to increase diversity. He reminded the EC of the project of developing a meta-code of ethics – not trying to replace individual codes of ethics but are trying to address certain
principles that are common and can be adopted without the presumption that it covers everything for each individual society. Is value added but does not replace.

Kagitçibasi final comments: to be decided – framework or more prescriptive; do we want to give direction or simply description. Requires that the Committee on the development of psychology as a science and profession do some work but needs to decide how best to make use of it.

The EC agreed to recommend to the incoming EC that the committee continue work on the paper (note: Poortinga agreed to take the lead on the next working draft).

10.2 Sub-committee on women in science
Bullock asked for clarification of the preferred IUPsyS focus -- on women in psychology or on the application of psychology to women's issues. Pawlik suggested that Psychology had a role as a participant in “engendering”. What does can and must psychology contribute; General sentiment was that the Union should take a broad role in promoting the use of psychological expertise on global gender issues.

11. Report of the Standing Committee on Research and Special Projects (A)
11.1 1999 Annual Reports to ICSU & ISSC
Special projects are covered in the reports.
11.2 International Network of Psychology & the Developing World (A)
11.3 International Network Project on Psychological Dimensions of Global Change
Pawlik: comments that he hands this project on to the incoming EC. He refrained from spending so that new EC will have funds to work with.
11.4 International Network on the Young Child & the Family (A)
This project is completed and the question is whether this continues as a network.

The incoming EC needs to decide whether the International Network on the Young Child & the Family will continue as a network.

11.5 HealthNet (A12.5)
Suggestions for interdisciplinary interactions with other Unions. One suggestions was for human development interactions 1)dynamic interplay between molecular level and behavioral level of human development; 2)molecular genetics, endocrinology, biology; 3) Advanced research training seminar on molecular and behavioral genetic models, e.g., gene mapping and advanced modeling approaches; 4) Robert Plomin – molecular genetics, biology; Denis suggested an interaction with philosophy and history of science

12. Recommendations for Mandate & Future Directions of Standing Committees
These are critical issues for the EC to discuss. It was agreed that the Publications and Science-as-a-science-and-profession committees are OK. Ritchie noted that the Research committee activities have overlapped somewhat with the secretary general's activities but where it is needed is in research policy areas.
Also there was agreement by the EC to send the recommendation to the new EC to ask National Members to develop a roster of possible research/capacity building projects for use as ISSC and ICSU priorities become known

The EC agreed to defer discussion of the future of the standing committee on research to the incoming EC

13. ARTS (A15)

13.1 ARTS at XXVII International Congress

Adair reported on the ARTS.

1. (with IBRO) Lund – 21 participants, 17 from developing countries; 3 teachers; highly successful
2. Arts II – test adaptations for diverse cultures (ITC). 16 participants plus one
3. Arts III on developmental pathways at end of convention with 12 participants from 11 different countries (this is typical)

ARTS in general: very successful in getting participants from a wide variety of geographical areas – 50 ARTS participants from 23 countries.

Suggestions for future development: separate ARTS web page with links to sponsoring organizations; separate ARTS logo that lists all three organizations

Other issues:
1. There has been concern that the same people are applying for ARTS successively. This was given lowest priority, and this time of 49 participants only three with prior ARTS experience and in these cases because particularly relevant.
2. Very difficult to get the word out
3. Very good cooperation from Swedish organizers.

Questions: Kagıtçibası asked about the registration fees for ARTS participants. Those for IBRO were paid (from the grant), and other ARTS received waivers. Several ARTS participants also received Congress Participant help. Kagıtçibası asked whether National Members were asked to help in publicizing ARTS. They are, but this has not been particularly effective. Ardila asked how are topics for ARTS are decided? Adair answered that they are decided partly in the EC and partly by volunteers; Adair discussed the present topics in Durban. Strelau noted that Eastern Europe is now better off – not so needy. Denis – yes but need scientific help as well.

The EC recommended to incoming EC to appoint a deputy ARTS coordinator to take over from Adair in two years’ time


The Report is in the agenda book materials. Ritchie noted that there is a new chair, Dianne Bretherton, who will continue activities.
Overmier noted that the committee seems to have little to do with the IUPsyS. The committee organizes a symposium on peace (last one in Costa Rico) which gives visibility to the Union (last one 50 people attended)

Pawlik: commented that the issue of peace is not so much a research issue but one of action, and that the psychology community should not focus on research but on action.

Ritchie: this is what the committee has done. Cultures of peace, policy, are primary activities of the union.

15. International Congresses of Psychology

15.1 XXVII Congress Progress Report

The President congratulated Nilsson and the Swedish organizers for their work on the Congress and turned over the floor to Nilsson. Nilsson introduced Britta Hansson and Orjan Salling and presented a brief report. He noted that the Congress is going well. Report: High ambitions – the goal was 7000 participants, but will reach 6000 (currently 5003) and are 1400 in the program who have not registered. Most are from invited symposia. Sponsor money was 6 million Swedish crowns, 1 million for Congress assistance program for participants from developing countries. Strong scientific program in good social program. Opening will be in very large hall. Nilsson expressed thanks to the Union and especially to the liaison Pawlik for help and involvement and assistance.

Salling and Hansson presented more detailed information on participation figures, and informed about logistics for the opening ceremony.

The EC congratulated the Swedish organizers for their work and good collaboration on the XXVII Congress.

15.2 XXVIII Congress Progress Report (A9.2.1). The EC was joined by Zhang Kan (Secretary General of the Congress). Zhang presented report of the timeline for the Congress, described the organization of the Congress structure; showed the logo design (adapted from a statue showing ancient Chinese symbol of hospitality). He noted that the Chinese Society had sent 100 Chinese to Stockholm – mostly junior psychologists (50%) to train for the meeting in 2004. During the next year the time frame is: IUPsyS EC meeting 2001 in China. The Congress organizers aim for 4500 people.

d’Ydewalle asked Imada to comment as liaison. Imada comments that he had visited Beijing 18-19 March to be briefed on activities. Preparation is on track. Earlier decision to choose ICP2004 EC only after 2001 was changed and the EC is now decided. He described strong interaction between Swedish and Chinese ECs. He opened the floor to questions.

Ritchie asked whether there was an assistance program. Zhang answered yes, included into program with funding from ministries. d’Ydewalle stressed the EC’s pleasure at hang involvement of young psychologists in the program.
Ritchie: asked whether would be presenting proposed budget; answer = budget is $1400500 (USD);

Zhang commented that China has a huge population, limited science and technology, which means need to invest strongly in sci/tech.

Pawlik: could consider opportunity for other natural or social sciences to also participate – cross-disciplinary events. Recommendations from EC to be in contact with other international organizations.

15.3 XXIX Congress Venue Bids (A9.3)
d’Ydewalle reported that there are presently 5 proposals:
   Berlin GERMANY,
   Bogota COLOMBIA
   Durban, SOUTH AFRICA
   Montevideo, URUGUAY
   Santiago, CHILE

He reminded the EC that they may answer factual questions but cannot take a position for or against any city. Ritchie: has asked each country to deliver bid books to him at the hotel.

During the first session, bidding will take place. 15 minutes maximum – SHARP. During that 15 minutes can allocate as the bidder wants. During the 2nd session, voting will take place as the first item.

The EC needs to endorse a proposal for the procedures to follow.

The EC agreed to the following procedures:
   • If 1 city receives a majority, that city will host the Congress.
   • If not, the two highest cities will have a run-off vote.
   • Voting will be secret.
   • Information on past Congress locations will be provided on a slide.

15.4 Chapter in Annual Review of Psychology
d’Ydewalle was surprised that there was no chapter on Sweden in the last edition of the annual review. He referred the question to Rosenzweig who referred him to the current editors. One of the present editors, Susan Fiske, replied that there was an explicit decision not to continue this because psychology was seen as disciplinary-based and interesting things to say were not geographically-based.

Rosenzweig commented that the earlier decision to include such articles was to facilitate contact with researchers in a Congress host country.

The EC agreed to recommend to the incoming EC that such an article be published in the IJP and publicized heavily across many venues

Overmier: May be a difference between science in Sweden and China – differences in level of information.
The EC recommended that the incoming EC make contact with the editorial board of the annual review to make inclusion of such a chapter a regular rule. If this is not successful the EC should commission such a chapter and secure wide and broad dissemination.

The EC recommended that Dorf approach the Swedish organizers with the offer of publishing a chapter on psychology in Sweden in IJP

16. Regional Congresses

Plans are to have a report in the Platform section of IJP. The program is the only documentation presently available (e.g., there is no final report). Ritchie will invite Saths Cooper to write a final report for publication in the platform section of IJP.

16.2 Future Regional Congresses

16.2.1 2001
Next regional Congress will be in India. The EC questioned a suggestion to have Human Potential as a topic. The EC reviewed the history of the next regional congress – there was consideration of many venues including Eastern Europe, Turkey, and India – skewed toward India, probably because that is where the IAAP organizers wanted it to be. Some suggestions were not very good, and repeated requests were made for changes. Presently there is a suggestion to have the congress done by organizers in Mumbai (Bombay).

Ritchie asked Adair to explicate. Adair expects the IAAP-sponsored regional congress in December 2001. The local committee will be overseen by individuals from the University of Bombay and a women’s college in Bombay. There is the expectation that a scientific program committee be formed that is broader than the local organizing committee. Charlie Spielberger (IAAP President) is pursuing this and the real challenge is to be sure that these individuals can work together. Adair believes from the last email from Spielberger that this seems to be a “go”. It will be the second or third week of December, 2001. Weather is good.

There was discussion of the regional aspect: if only covers the region of India this is a huge region, and may not cover other areas.

d’Ydewalle noted that IUPsyS is not required to attend a regional congress organized by IAAP. Ritchie commented that one goal of regional congresses is that they be multinational, and that free movement be assured. In recent weeks there was a spontaneous request from the Bangladesh Psychology Association to help sponsor a regional congress in Bangladesh. Ritchie informed the Bangladesh organizers of the plans for a regional congress in Mumbai and contact has been made.

Pawlik questioned the reasoning on the part of the IAAP of staying so close to Singapore. He also asked whether IAAP organized regional congress on a different basis than Union. IAAP chooses venue on the basis of personal contacts, rather than more general considerations.
Adair addressed choice of Mumbai, which was exceedingly complex

Kagitçibasi described the history of the involvement of Turkey – approached in Durban, spoke to colleagues, and then it was clear that this would be a large conflict with EFPPA. Discussion concerned how this response from EFPPA was not appropriate; it was clear confusion of authority. The Turkish colleagues withdrew from the idea. Asked more general question of whether IAAP-IUPsyS needed to take account of EFPPA activities for any summer regional conference? It was agreed that procedures need to be developed.

d’Ydewalle expressed that the Union was not in a position to take an active role because this congress was under the auspices of IAAP and IAAP never requested a meeting in Turkey.

Overmier: pointed out that the difference in the organizations needs to be remembered, in particular that IAAP is individual organization and will do things on an individual basis rather than organization to organization.

d’Ydewalle noted that India is no longer a paying member of IUPsyS so it is hard to support its holding the conference, on the other hand going to India might lead to renewed contact with the Union. He suggested that IUPsyS support the Congress if there is the possibility of input on the scientific program AND if the conference includes all of India

Pawlik: reiterated that the Union should stay out unless it is an all-Indian subcontinent conference. Adair commented that this should be aspirational but if one asks for more it may not be successful.

Adair: Would prefer to be called ‘South-Asian’ or ‘all-Indian’ with participation be solicited broadly in the region

The EC requested that the 2001 Congress be labeled the South-Asian Conference and that the organizers seek active participation in the preparation of the conference across the region.

16.2.2
The Union has been approached by Vietnam, Georgia and Yemen in very preliminary way about 2003 possible regional congresses.

Overmier reminded the EC of a perception of a need in the Middle East

Kagitçibasi recommended Istanbul as regional venue for bringing all groups in the region into contact.

17. Regional Developments
There is capacity building in different areas; Meeting in Yemen; regional congress in October in Lithuania.
18. Relationships with Other Psychological Organizations

18.1 Report of the Joint Committee on IUPsyS/IAAP Cooperation (A22.1)

18.1.1 Principles and procedures for choice of Regional Congresses (A14.2)

d’Ydewalle noted that principles and procedures were approved in principle last year in Durban.

The EC approved the text as stated with the deletion/change in the term *ad hoc*.

18.1.2 Report to the Assembly

d’Ydewalle reviewed the history of the report, which stemmed from a request from the Assembly in San Francisco. This document was drafted by Bernhard Wilpert (IAAP), edited by officers in IUPsyS and IAAP, and was reworked in its final form at the officers meeting this spring in Bruges.

Pawlik remarked that this report was a good start but not at all sufficient for the future of psychology. He urged IUPsyS to join with IAAP into one organization. He suggested that Michael Frese (President IAAP) is not aware of these possibilities; spoke at length about the advantages of joined associations not in a federation but as a unified organization.

d’Ydewalle gave a historical account that these issues were discussed in past ECs, which wanted to move in that direction. However the present EC did not continue these discussions because it was clear that it would not have been possible at that time within IAAP.

Poortinga fully endorsed Pawlik’s comments and urged that the sentiments be brought to the attention of IAAP. He noted that officers in both organizations worked hard on these matters in the last 2 yrs. and shows good intentions, good outlook for cooperation. He called attention to pp. 5 in the document (Ap.343-343, p. 343 last pp.) and urged additional institutional arrangements.

Poortinga moved that the officers discuss ways that IAAP-IUPsyS can become more integrated in Friday morning officers meeting. Wants report that outlines range of possibilities among which organizations can choose. d’Ydewalle indicated that he wanted to explore how far can go and intends to pursue this at the end of the Stockholm Congress.

Pawlik suggested considering avoiding a historical context, and asked how an organization formed at this point would be constructed. A practical question is to ask if the two organizations did not exist, how would it be done.

Kagitçibasi raised issues of the substance of the organizations noting that one goal is to consider how to integrate psychology as a science and profession and IAAP has aspirations to attend to scientific basis. In substance there is agreement on goals and such an integration would help support integration of science and applied and help counteract the centrifugal forces threatening the integrity of psychology.

Overmier cautioned have to carefully attend to strengths and foci of attending to
national members and worries that could get lost in an organization whose voting strategies are based on individual members. One would need to worry that small, developing, emerging countries might get lost in the process.

Strelau suggested that the issues are not between applied and basic science but that the problem is that we are not representing individual views but rather psychologists who represent countries. Issue is whether this can be combined to keep both perspectives. However, IUPsyS also is informed by individuals – Congress is of individuals, projects are done by individuals, etc. Need to keep balance.

d’Ydewalle: a number of unions have both kinds of members and can provide models.

Pawlik: to have two Secretariats is maximally costly

Poortinga: agreed that there are many issues but ultimate issues are to address common problems together.

Ritchie: what is interesting and heartening in the discussion is that no one has a contradictory view – all comments are in the same direction. Ritchie – relative to starting point 1996 have moved quite substantially (IUPsyS-IAAP). Real issue is how to best establish direction in a formal sense to be used as legitimacy to move toward. Ritchie suggested making a suggestion in the assembly congratulating moves in the present direction and encouraging continued changes.

d’Ydewalle: asked the EC to please read the draft minutes carefully on this issue to be sure that the text accurately reflects the discussion.

18.2 EFPPA

d’Ydewalle discussed developments in the European Society for Psychological Science (ESPS). He asked the EC to recall discussions of ESPS and EFPPA and the EC’s mandate to organize a meeting with IUPsyS, IAAP, EFPPA, and Hans Spada.

d’Ydewalle organized meeting in October with Wilpert (IAAP), Lunt (EFPPA), Hans Spada (ESPS) and himself (IUPsyS). Lunt and Tuomo Tikkanen (EFPPA) committed selves to explore how to change EFPPA to accommodate the needs of scientists in Europe. In December EFPPA set up a working group to see how statutes could be changed and what activities could involve scientists in EFPPA. This group worked out a number of scenarios which have been discussed in EFPPA meetings (exec board) in March, met in mid-April in Brugges and during joint meeting with IAAP, President of EFPPA (Tikkanen) and Secretary-General (Brueche-Albers) and Treasurer (Wim Manniën). Tikkanen noted that he needed to move slowly but the spirit was good. Then there was an EFPPA Council meeting and things began going badly – several members in EFPPA started to say that changing acronym was not possible, that changing the statutes was not possible. Information from the last two days offers two interpretations: one (Lunt) is that EFPPA is not willing at all to move, and Spada was right; other
interpretation (Hansson) is that EFPPA has had some actions and outcome not so negative. What is IUPsyS' perspective? Recall, this is an internal problem, not our business. However, in goal of serving needs, perhaps do need to consider.

Poortinga: what happens with EFPPA is in the interest of IUPsyS and IAAP, and we are indeed are involved. Already the Union is implicated – it was said yesterday (by EFPPA) that IAAP and IUPsyS had advised not to make any changes in the constitution, and was also said that internal problems in EFPPA have been elicited by IUPsyS and IAAP. There is a need to seek a position where it is made clear that Union thinks it is very important that science and practice move together in Europe. Should make it clear that IUPsyS was very heartened by initiatives undertaken in response to Spada, and now events appear not to be continuing that. Letter from Tikkanen concerning ways to link science and practice is dramatically different and weaker than what the EC was given in Durban.

Pawlik: it should be everyone’s intention to make colleagues aware that they are silly (EFPPA). Not possible for EFPPA to be successful without cooperation and support from scientists. Says to d'Ydewalle and successor that would be difficult to continue liaison relation with EFPPA should EFPPA intentionally reject science. Cannot liase with regional association that violates this principle. If EFPPA cannot drop a 'p', another organization will develop and will give rise to same issues of two organizations trying to accomplish same goals. It is clear that psychology must have a voice in Brussels – must have credit transfer program, e.g., for academics – and if EFPPA not ready to promote this time and energy will be taken over to this. Not only a question Union can threaten liaison status.

Ritchie offered a non-European perspective to the discussion. Has attended all EFPPA congresses since 1995. Have to be careful to distinguish between legitimate interests as members of regional or national groupings and as IUPsyS members. Role of the Union to this point has been to offer good offices and to facilitate communications. Would urge that be careful. Gives own perspective. Thinks would be fundamental error to make statements to European leaders to characterize them and actions with adjectives as silly or foolish. Suggests a different message which will avoid offending people of good will who find themselves not partisans but who want to achieve unifying goals. He noted that EFPPA was created to serve regulatory needs at a time when licensing, etc. is a crucial issue and many feel that to include science will dilute these goals. Probably no one can reverse thinking of those who want to keep EFPPA focused on practice issues. Whatever IUPsyS does should be to maintain role of helpful observer, and not get into the debate because this will strengthen perception that we are part of the problem and we will not be able further to be helpful.

Overmier: need to remember that there are some countries in which science and practice are seen as NOT being together and in which practice organizations choose not to have academics so there is an educational program that needs to occur that penetrates all the way down to the individual level because they must represent their own constituencies.

Poortinga: EFPPA came about to represent professional interests and attracted organizations and individuals that have this as their primary goal. This means there will
be a continued interest on practitioners and on practice and regulatory matters. Also, in US organizations were for a long time in the hands of academics and there was a negative attitude toward practitioners which sometimes bubbles up and interferes. Should take action to avoid, This is an unhealthy development.

It is clear that we cannot be silent on the matter. Don’t burn hands on cold water.

Strelau: EFPPA is composed of psychological associations that are also Union members. Union should expect that EFPPA as regional organization represents similar goals as IUPsyS. Members at meeting are determined and will form organization if EFPPA does not change. Urges Union to express view – to express view of the consequences that will occur if things continue.

Poortinga: wants to propose motion but d’Ydewalle suggests that we all as individuals try to approach separate members of EFPPA and by discussion and comments alert them to the implications of EFPPA actions.

Kagitçibasi: Birgit Hansson’s comments – thought EFPPA not as terrible as looks from the outside because EFPPA will take measures but are trying to do it in realistic way. E.g., European Congresses, training, etc. – says taking measures but more realistic than trying to change statutes because this would probably fail in assembly. If going to talk with members need to be aware of these considerations. d’Ydewalle: very negative report from Ingrid, qualified by Birgit. Are two views – agree on factual, but differ in interpretation.

Poortinga: propose that the President give expression of grave concern about developments in Europe where there is threat of a split between more practice oriented and more science oriented psychologists, and the Union hopes that these dangers can be averted. Also spell out negative consequences – what will happen if this is the case. Express concern and give due weight to scientists and practitioners. Believes we do need to attend to the matter.

Ritchie: agrees with spirit but not language of the proposal. He commented that this is a case where IUPsyS can best lead by example e.g., IAAP. On the other hand should not hold others to higher standard. If it has taken 4 years to move where we are with IAAP can't expect EFPPA to move faster! Things move in small steps. Need to keep communication open. Would regret implying in any way that if they don’t or do do something that we might stop talking with them. Want instead to keep communication lines and possibilities open.

Overmier: we have contributed to this by not paying more attention to statutes of entering countries by ensuring they will PAY attention to science/practice.

18.3 ITC
The ITC is having an executive committee meeting tomorrow.
Pawlik: with the advent of computer/internet testing there is a movement for non-psychologists to be involved in testing. Believes that IUPsyS should monitor this
situation. Contact should be funneled through Adair

**18.4 International Student Organization**
Ritchie: about a year ago after the last EC was approached by Shannon McCauslin involved in US student organization (APAGS) to look at facilitating organization of students at the international level. Also at meeting was IAAP, APAGS. There is a conversation hour at the present Congress.

It was noted that there is a European Student Association and a Latin American student association.
The Secretary-General will continue to facilitate this development.

**19. United Nations System** (A19)
Beijing plus 5 meeting will be next year

**19.1 New York Secretariat - DPI and ECOSOC**
Sabourin reported on activities from NGO's and UN. He has attended meetings including the Millenium forum – held to submit information to the UN general assembly; invited by UNICEF to attend 1-day meeting in preparation for the summit on children. Sabourin has spent time getting involved with people and programs in UNICEF as a prelude to our application for consultative status with them.

The request for consultative status is still being pursued. UNICEF programs are relevant to psychology and UNICEF should be pursued.

**19.2 UNESCO** (A20)
Ritchie: Continuing to work our way back into the UNESCO system as a formally recognized NGO. Message from NGO office was that they were interested in renewed contact with organizations such as IUPsyS

**19.2.1 Cultures of Peace** (A)
IUPsyS asked as an organization to endorse the Manifesto 2000. The asker was David Adams in UNESCO.

Discussion: Overmier noted that this is a very general manifesto that can be interpreted in a variety of ways. Although we may all endorse them, they can have dangerous implications.

Kagitçibasi: for political reasons there maybe powerful reasons for signing the manifesto,

D’Ydewalle proposed that the IUPsyS does not sign. Ritchie replied that this is not an appropriate response, and the Union must respond.

Kagitçibasi moved that the EC recommend signing the manifesto
Seconded

For 7
Against 1
Abstain 5

The EC voted to send the question of signing the Manifesto to the assembly
19.3 WHO (A21)
Ritchie: main thrust is that WHO has just undergone second massive reorganization in the last two years and have shifted all the key people which has put projects back months. Had hoped to formally have established relations but because of change has not yet occurred. The documentation is in progress and there is in principle no problem. Remains relatively optimistic about processes. No impediments – have achieved a great deal with WHO in the last years (note – have official preprints for the modules; are in press), and Healthnet is in existence.

19.4 UNICEF (A19)
Meeting for preparation of world summit on children

20. 2000 Assembly Meeting
Discussion centered on how to proceed in the Assembly. d’Ydewalle said that there is preparation to make the two sessions go smoothly. One of the most delicate issues is to reach quorum to change statutes – need 2/3 yes from ALL delegates which means need 58 delegates.

Procedures: The President wants to avoid any impression of giving more time to any one running for office, so he will run the meeting. Will call on people as questions arise.

21. 2000 Incoming EC Meeting

22. Other Business

1. Honors

The EC unanimously agreed to appoint Pawlik honorary lifetime member of the EC

2. Poortinga: Raised the issue of the cost of international congresses. He reported several members of IACCP who explicitly commented that they were not coming to Stockholm because the costs are too high. Big congresses are under pressure already as people go to smaller meetings. d’Ydewalle proposed discussing the issue in incoming EC, at the meeting in China.

3. Pawlik spoke words of farewell. He joined EC in 1978 and has had many roles in IUPsyS that have occupied 2/3 of the time of his professional life. He noted increases in the degree of professionalization and degree of internationalization – psychology gains in vigor and strength and vitality. Joining ICSU is a good example. He ended by noting that serving was a lot of work, he has no regrets, and is glad to continue to serve in the development of psychology.

4. Ritchie thanked the EC for the opportunity to work with them and thanked especially Kurt for his mentorship and other officers for their patience, with particular tribute to d’Ydewalle. He offered congratulations to the EC for a productive meeting, and to the Deputy Secretary-General for good collaborative work.

5. d’Ydewalle ended the meeting by noting that the Union tries to serve the needs of the national members, to make psychology more international, and to serve the needs of the
wider community. He thanked all in the EC for lengthy discussions, tough discussions, and very constructive work. He thanked the officers for their contributions, and pleasant work context.

23. Adjournment at 10:30